```
1
    DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
      of the State of California
    BETH FABER JACOBS,
      Deputy Attorney General
 3
      State Bar No. 89145
    Department of Justice
 4
    110 West A Street, Suite 700
 5
    P.O. Box 85266
    San Diego, California 92101
    Telephone: (619) 237-7873
    FAX No.:
               (619) 238-3313
    Attorneys for Complainant
 9
                                BEFORE THE
                        MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
10
                        DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
                      DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11
                            STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12
    In the Matter of the Accusation
                                             NO. D-4954
    Against:
13
                                             L- 58706
      THEODORE KONIG, M.D.
14
      9985 Sierra
                                             STIPULATION AND DECISION
      Fontana, CA 92335
                                             OF THE BOARD
15
      Physician's and Surgeon's
16
      No. C-17182
17
                             Respondent.
18
19
              In the interest of a prompt resolution of this matter,
    consistent with the public interest and the responsibilities of
20
21
    the Division of Medical Quality (Division), Medical Board of
    California (Board), the parties submit this Stipulation to the
22
```

The parties stipulate the following is true:

Division of Medical Quality of the Board for its approval and

adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.

23

24

25

26

27

1. An Accusation, No. D-4954 is currently pending against Theodore Konig, M.D., before the Division of Medical

2. Respondent is presently represented by Gary Ofthe Law Firm, Agazanian. McFall + TOM In Son. Wittenberg, Esq., in Universal City, California.

- 3. Respondent is fully aware of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. D-4954 on file with the Board, and has been fully advised with regards to his rights in this matter by his counsel. Respondent is aware of the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in the accusation, right to reconsideration, appeal, and all other rights which are accorded by the Administrative Procedure Act.
- 4. Respondent is entering into this Stipulation in order to avoid the inconvenience, uncertainty and expense of a contested administrative proceeding.
- 5. Respondent admits that the charges in the Accusation constitute grounds to impose discipline upon his license.
- 6. Respondent stipulates and agrees to the revocation of his medical license. The parties agree that the revocation will be stayed until June 1, 1993, and that during the stayed period, respondent will be on probation and subject to certain conditions, as set forth below.
- 7. Respondent understands and agrees that in the event he ever seeks reinstatement, restoration of his license, or if he seeks to reapply for a new license following the imposition of revocation on June 1, 1993, the facts alleged in paragraph 4 of the Accusation, and all sub-parts of paragraph 4 of the

Accusation, will be deemed admitted as true, and may be used in any proceeding between the Board and respondent, or any other licensing entity and respondent.

. 17

- 8. Respondent understands that in the event he seeks reinstatement or restoration of his license, or if he reapplies for a new license, his application shall be treated as a Petition for Reinstatement of his license and he must comply with all the applicable laws and regulations regarding such a petition.
- 9. This agreement is made exclusively for the purpose of settlement of Accusation No. 4954 against Theodore Konig, M.D., any future proceedings between the Board and respondent, and for the use of any other licensing entity in any future proceeding involving respondent. Except as specified with respect to licensing proceedings, nothing contained in this stipulation shall be deemed an admission in any other civil or criminal action, forum or proceeding.

WHEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED the Board may, without further notice of formal proceeding, issue and enter the following decision:

A. Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C17182 issued to respondent Theodore Konig, M.D., is revoked,
provided, however, that the revocation is stayed until June 1,
1993, and respondent is placed on probation until June 1, 1993,
upon the following terms and conditions:

CONDITIONS

1. Respondent's practice will be restricted and limited to working at the Kaiser Permanente Hospice

_

Program at Fontana, California, providing care and comfort to terminally ill patients.

2. Respondent will work under the direct supervision of and monitoring by another Kaiser physician assigned on a full time basis to Kaiser's Hospice Program at Fontana, which physician shall be approved by the Division and shall prepare and submit to the Division monthly evaluations on the performance of respondent.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within seven (7) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division.

- 3. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- 4. Respondent shall, upon request by the Division, submit declarations prepared under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 5. Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- 6. In the event respondent leaves California to reside outside the State, respondent must notify the Division, in writing, of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residence outside California will

1	not alter the probationary period or the June 1, 1993		
2	date in which the stay will be lifted and the		
3	revocation of respondent's license will go into effect.		
4	7. If respondent violates probation in any		
5	respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice		
6	and the opportunity to be heard, may set aside the stay		
7	order and impose the revocation of respondent's		
8	license.		
9	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
10	I have read the above document and I fully understand,		
11	accept, and consent to all of the provisions of the above		
12	stipulation and order.		
13			
14	Dated: 1993 (wodor) (oragin) THEODORE KONIG, M.D.		
15	Respondent		
16			
17	I CONCUR IN THIS STIPULATION. Agazanian, makali stombus		
18	M. T. W.		
19	Dated: CARY WITTENDERG, Esq.		
20	Attorney for Respondent		
21			
22	DANIEL E. LUNGREN		
23	Attorney General of the State of California		
24			
25	Dated: January 26, 1993 Oth Jalen Jacobs BETH FABER JACOBS		
26	Deputy Attorney General		
27	Attorneys for Complainant		

ORDER The attached Stipulation and Decision of the Board is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of the State of California as its decision in the above-entitled matter. THIS DECISION SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE on the 1st day June, 1993 IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 29th DAY OF April, 1993 Division of Medical Quality Medical Board of California State of California December 24, 1992

Honig, Theodore

1 2	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California BETH FABER JACOBS,
3	Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice
4	110 West A Street, Suite 700 P.O. Box 85266
5	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 237-7873
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. D-4954 Against:
12	THEODORE KONIG, M.D.) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
13	9985 Sierra) Fontana, CA 92335)
14 15	Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. C-17182,)
16	Respondent.)
17	·
18	Complainant, Kenneth Wagstaff, having cause for
19	disciplinary action, alleges:
20	1. He is the Executive Directorof the California State
21	Medical Board of California ("Board"). He makes and files this
22	accusation solely in his official capacity.
23	2. <u>License Status.</u> On or about August 17, 1955,
24	Theodore Konig ("respondent") was issued Physician's and
25	Surgeon's Certificate No. C-17182, authorizing him to practice
26	medicine in the State of California. His certificate is paid and
27	current, with an expiration date of January 31, 1993, and has, at

all relevant times, been in full force and effect. Respondent does not supervise Physician Assistants.

2. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. Section 2220 of California's
Business and Professions Code ("the Code") provides in pertinent
part that the Division of Medical Quality may take action against
any person guilty of violating any of the provisions of the
Medical Practice Act (Chapter 5 of Division 2 of the Code, also
known as "the Act.")

Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee whose matter has been heard by the Division of Medical Quality, a medical quality review committee, a panel of such committee, an administrative law judge, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty may: (a) have his certificate revoked upon order of the division; (b) may have his right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division or a committee or panel thereof; (c) may be placed on probation upon order of the division or a committee or panel thereof; (d) may be publicly reprimanded by the division or a committee or panel thereof; and/or (e) may have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the division, a committee or panel thereof, or an administrative law judge may deem proper.

SUMMARY OF STATUTORY VIOLATIONS

- 3. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to the following sections of the Act: 1/
 - a. Section 2234 provides that the Division of Medical

^{1.} All code references are to the Business and Professions Code, unless otherwise stated.

Quality shall take disciplinary action against a physician for unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to the following:

- 1. Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the Act;
 - 2. Gross negligence;

- Repeated negligent acts;
- 4. Incompetence; and
- 5. The commission of any act involving dishonesty which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon; and
- b. <u>Section 2262</u> -- altering or modifying the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or creating any false medical record. The section also provides that the Division of Medical Quality may impose a civil penalty of \$500 for violation of this section, in addition to the imposition of disciplinary action.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. Respondent, Theodore Konig, M.D., has subjected his license to disciplinary action by altering the medical records of a patient and subsequently lying about the alterations while under oath. The circumstances giving rise to these allegations are as follows:
- a. Respondent is a physician with the Southern

 California Kaiser Permanente Foundation Medical Group ("Kaiser.")

 In connection with his employment at Kaiser, he examined and

 treated patient Charlotte Der on numerous occasions prior to

her death on March 12, 1988. When he was her attending physician, on December 1, 1987, and on December 15, 1987, she complained of symptoms which respondent interpreted as angina. Respondent made certain entries on her medical record (progress charts) on each of these dates. Following Ms. Depreciate death on March 12, 1988, respondent added entries to her medical record for the dates of December 1, 1987, and December 15, 1987, as follows:

- 1. On an occasion after March 12, 1988, respondent added, in the body of what he had written in his patient's medical record on or about December 1, 1987, the statement: "does not want bypass or hospital." Respondent did not date the late entry, but falsely and intentionally left the implication that it was written at the same time as the remaining entries on the page, which were written on or about December 1, 1987.
- 2. On an occasion after March 12, 1988, respondent added entries to Ms. De Record medical record previously completed on or about December 15, 1987. He added the statements: "probably needs angiogram" [at approximately line 8 of the record] and "again, as on Dec. 1, 1987 -- does not want bypass operation. Does not want to be hospitalized for bypass evaluation for angiogram." Respondent did not date the late entries, but falsely left the implication that they were written at the same time as he wrote the other entries on the page, which were written on or about December 15, 1987.
 - b. Respondent was deposed by Ms. De Remaily in

connection with a lawsuit her family filed against respondent challenging the care given by respondent to Ms. De Remain prior to her death. Respondent was dishonest during his deposition on November 20, 1990 when he was questioned about the entries he placed on Ms. De Remain's medical records for December 1, 1987 and December 15, 1987 in the following manner:

- 1. Respondent dishonestly implied he completed the entirety of the notes in his patient's progress notes date stamped December 1, 1987, on December 1, 1987; and
- 2. When specifically asked whether he wrote the entry which began "again as on December 1, 1987" on December 15, 1987, respondent dishonestly answered: "Yes."
- 3. Respondent falsely explained that the rationale for his twice signing the December 15, 1987 entry was that he had a second conversation with his patient later that day, and that the second entry reflected that conversation. The explaination was false, insofar as the entry was actually made months later, and not on December 15, 1987.
- c. Respondent made the alterations and lied about them during his deposition in an attempt to avoid or limit his personal liability concerning his care and treatment of Ms. De Rada.

BASES FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct of Gross Negligence, Repeated Negligent Acts, and Incompetence

5. Section 2234 of the Medical Practice Act provides that the Division of Medical Quality shall take disciplinary action against any licensee who is guilty of unprofessional

5.

conduct. Within section 2234, unprofessional conduct includes
gross negligence (section 2234 (b)), repeated negligent acts
(section 2234 (c)) and incompetence (section 2234 (d)).

Respondent has subjected his license to discipline by committing gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, and displaying incompetence in the following manner, as alleged in paragraphs
(a), (b) and (c), above:

- a. Respondent altered medical records for Ms. De Respondent 1, 1987, and December 15, 1987, without indicating on the records the fact that late entry additions were made;
- b. Respondent failed to date the numerous late entries he made on medical records pertaining to Ms. De R
- c. Respondent knowingly left a false implication that all notes he wrote on Ms. De Remark medical records for December 1, 1987 and December 15, 1987 were in fact written on those dates, despite his writing them following her death on March 12, 1988.

Acts Involving Dishonesty

- 6. Subdivision (e) of section 2234 also defines unprofessional conduct which subjects a physician to discipline, as "the commission of any act involving . . . dishonesty which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon."
- 7. Respondent is also subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234 (e) for unprofessional conduct because he dishonestly made the alterations and lied about them under oath, and further, because he did so in an attempt to avoid or

limit his personal liability concerning his care and treatment of 1 Ms. De Rem, as alleged above in paragraphs 4 (a), (b), and (c.) 3 Alteration of Medical Records Section 2262 of the Medical Practice Act provides 4 that altering or modifying the medical records of any person, 5 with fraudulent intent, constitutes unprofessional conduct. 6 Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to sections 2234 and 8 2262 because he altered the medical records of Ms. DM Rem, with 9 a fraudulent intent, by adding to her records after her death, 10 implying the additional language was written at the same time as 11 the preexisting record, and adding language intended to relieve 12 him of potential liability, as alleged in paragraphs 4 (b) and 13 (c), above. . 14. 15 WHEREFORE, complainant requests that the Board 16 hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein, and that following 17 the hearing, that the Board issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's 18 1. Certificate No. C-92335, issued to respondent Theodore Konig; 19 Imposing a civil penalty of \$500.00, pursuant to 20 Business and Professions Code section 2262; and 21 22 117 111 23 24 111 /// 25 26 ///

27

1	
2	
3	
4	ľ
5	ľ
6	ŀ
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	1
17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	П

27

3 Taking such other and further action as the Board deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare.

DATED: September 17, 1992

Kenneth Wagstaff

Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California

Complainant